Therapeutic targets against gastric cancer stem cells interacting with tumor microenvironment
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Abstract
Gastric cancer (GC) is a major cause of cancer-related deaths worldwide. The existence of cancer stem cells (CSCs) is known to be the main reason for resistance to anticancer agents as well as for the development of distant metastases. Although CSCs themselves harbor self-renewal and differentiation abilities, the tumor microenvironment that surrounds CSCs provides secreted factors and supports angiogenesis and is thus responsible for the maintenance of their CSC properties. The current review provides information regarding the impact of the tumor microenvironment on gastric CSCs, which will support the development of novel therapeutic strategies for targeting gastric CSCs.
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INTRODUCTION
Although the proportion of individuals with gastric cancer (GC) has declined for decades, GC continues to be a major cause of cancer-related deaths worldwide[1-3]. Despite improvements in the treatment of GC, the clinical outcome of patients with advanced GC after curative resection is still poor, which is mainly due to recurrence and metastasis[4]. Therefore, new treatment options for this disease must be developed.

Recent evidence has increasingly indicated that the heterogeneity of the tumor is a consequence of cancer stem cells (CSCs), which are deeply involved in tumor progression and metastasis[5-7]. Malignant tumors have been reported to exhibit obvious histologic heterogeneity. In 1937, Furth et al.[8] demonstrated that
a single leukemia cell could cause systemic disease in recipient mice. However, it took a long time for the concept of CSCs to be widely recognized. CSCs of acute myelogenous leukemia (AML) were first identified by Bonnet and Dick\[9\] in 1997, and they also determined that the CD34+ CD38- fraction of AML tumor cells enhances tumorigenicity after continuous transplant into immunodeficient mice. CSCs have subsequently been found in various types of solid tumors\[10-12\]. Gastric CSCs (GCSCs) have been vigorously investigated in studies using GC cell lines and primary GC tissues\[13-15\].

The current review provides recent evidence for the regulation of GCSCs in the tumor microenvironment and for GCSC-targeted treatments.

**MARKERS OF GCSCS**

**CD44**

CD44 was first identified as a potential GCSC marker in a study using GC cell lines. The CD44-positive fraction in these GC cell lines showed the ability to form spheroids *in vitro* and demonstrated tumorigenicity *in vivo* when injected into the stomach wall or when injected subcutaneously into immunodeficient mice\[16\]. Furthermore, a combination of the cell surface markers CD44 and CD24 has been examined in GC cell lines and primary GC tissues from five patients using fluorescence-activated cell sorting. The authors of that study found that the CD44+/CD24+ fraction demonstrated a higher tumorigenicity compared with the CD44-/CD24- fraction when injected into immunodeficient mice. Therefore, not only do these cells have the ability to self-replicate and produce differentiated offspring, the combined expression of CD44+/CD24+ acts as a putative GCSC marker\[17\]. CSCs were isolated from the peripheral blood of GC patients using the cell surface markers CD44 and CD54, and tumors similar to the original human tumor were generated when the cells were injected into immunodeficient mice. The same cells differentiated into gastric epithelial cells *in vitro* and self-renewed *in vivo* and *in vitro*. These results suggest that the combination of CD44+/CD54+ can also be used as a potential cell surface marker for GCSCs\[18\]. Epithelial cell adhesion molecule (EpCAM) and CD44 have also been identified as CSC markers in various types of tumors. The EpCAM+/CD44+ fraction from human GC tissues grew into tumors in immunodeficient mice, maintained a differentiated phenotype and reproduced the morphological and phenotypical heterogeneities of the original gastric tumors. These cells acquired greater tolerance to anticancer agents than other subtypes of cells\[19\].

**Lgr5**

Lgr5 has received substantial attention as a new GCSC marker. Initially, Lgr5 was identified in stem cells within hair follicles, the small intestine, large intestine and stomach\[20,21\]. Lgr5+ stem cells in the intestinal crypts are interspersed among terminally differentiated Paneth cells, which act as guardians of the stem cells by providing essential niche signals\[22\], but the role of Lgr5+ cells in the stomach is not fully understood. In addition, Notch signaling regulates gastric antral Lgr5 stem cell function. An analysis of gastric organoids revealed that Notch signaling is intrinsic to the epithelium and that it regulates growth. Furthermore, in one study, *in vivo* Notch manipulation affected the efficiency of organoid initiation from glands and single Lgr5-GFP stem cells, which indicates the regulation of stem cell function by Notch. Moreover, the authors of that study showed that, compared with control stem cells, stem cells in which Notch signaling was activated competed more effectively for niche spots, as they rapidly spread within the stem cell niche\[23\]. More recently, Lgr5-positive chief cells were defined as a major cell-of-origin of gastric cancer. That study revealed Lgr5 expression in a subpopulation of chief cells in mouse and human corpus glands. Using a non-variegated Lgr5-2A-CreERT2 mouse model, the authors demonstrated that the division of these Lgr5-positive cells depended on the occurrence of Wnt signaling at the time of injury. It has become clear that Lgr5-positive cells generate all the cells that form the stomach tissue and that they are able to repair wounds within the stomach. Additionally, it was also found that gastric cancer developed when cancer-associated genes were activated in Lgr5-positive stem cells. This suggests that tissue stem cells are necessary for the repair and regeneration of the injured stomach might change to CSCs\[24\]. As described above, LGR5 acts as a GCSC marker of gastric cancer progression.
CD133
One study examined the expression of three putative CSC markers, including ATP-binding cassette sub-family B member 1, ATP-binding cassette sub-family G member 2, and CD133, in 90 human GC tissue samples and three human GC cell lines. The authors concluded that the expression levels of these markers in GC varied with the degree of differentiation, while poorly differentiated GC expressed high levels of these markers. Furthermore, CD133 expression in GC cells could be divided into two forms: luminal expression in the gland and cytoplasmic expression. A multivariate analysis revealed that the expression of CD133 in the cytoplasm was an independent prognostic factor in GC.\[25,26\]

Other GCSC markers
In addition, aldehyde dehydrogenase 1 (ALDH1) has been identified as a marker of GCSCs. ALDH1+ cells derived from a diffuse-type GC cell line had a higher tumorigenic capacity in vitro and in vivo compared with ALDH1- cells and were capable of self-renewal and the generation of heterogeneous cell populations. Moreover, regenerating islet-derived family member 4 (REG4) was overexpressed in ALDH1+ GCSCs, and ALDH1 and REG4 expression were down-regulated by transforming growth factor-β (TGF-β), which correlated with a reduction in the GCSC population and tumorigenicity.\[27,28\] CD90+ cells, which possessed a greater ability to initiate tumors in vivo compared with CD90- cells, could re-establish the cellular hierarchy of tumors from single-cell implantation, which demonstrates their self-renewal properties. In addition, previous studies on chemo-resistance revealed that ERBB2 was overexpressed in approximately 20%-25% of the gastric primary tumor models, which correlated with the higher level of CD90 expression in these tumors.\[29,30\] Moreover, trastuzumab treatment could decrease the CD90+ population in these tumor masses and could suppress tumor growth when combined with traditional chemotherapy. Taken together, this evidence suggests that CD90 may be another potential candidate marker of GCSCs.\[30\] The CD71- fraction of GC cells was enriched after treatment with 5-fluorouracil and accumulated during the G0/G1 cell cycle phase. This cell subtype also exhibited high drug resistance to conventional chemotherapy, which demonstrates its stem cell-like properties. Limiting dilution and serial transplantation assays revealed that the CD71- cell fraction had higher tumorigenicity than the CD71+ cell fraction.\[31\]

More recently, new tissue stem cell markers have been proposed. Lrig1, which is a marker of proliferative and quiescent stem cells in the skin and intestine, is a marker of gastric corpus epithelial progenitor cells that are capable of repopulating the damaged oxyntic mucosa via differentiation into normal gastric lineage cells in the mouse stomach. Lineage labelling using Lrig1-CreERT2/+; R26R-YFP/+ (Lrig1/YFP) or R26R-LacZ/+ (Lrig1/LacZ) mice demonstrated that the Lrig1-YFP-marked cells were gastric progenitor cells.\[32\] Likewise, Mist1 is a marker of quiescent stem cells in the gastric corpus isthmus. Mist1-positive stem cells serve as a cell-of-origin for intestinal-type GCs, and have the combination of Kras and Apc mutations; Mist1-positive cells are also the cell-of-origin of diffuse-type GCs when E-cadherin expression is lost.\[33\] Potential GCSC markers are summarized in Table 1.

GCSC REGULATION IN THE TUMOR MICROENVIRONMENT
The tumor microenvironment consists of various types of cells including immune cells, endothelial cells, and fibroblasts, in addition to the extracellular matrix, and has a large impact on tumor progression.\[34,35\] Cancer cells remodel their microenvironment through the secretion of growth factors and proteases, while stromal cells also affect cancer cells through the secretion of soluble factors such as matrix metalloproteinases, TGF-β1, Wnt ligands, bone morphogenetic proteins, stromal cell-derived factor 1 and exosomes.\[36-38\] Tissue stem cells are located beside the surrounding environment termed a “stem cell niche” where they play critical roles in tissue homeostasis by maintaining their ability to self-renew and differentiate.\[39,40\]

In the tumor microenvironment, myofibroblasts, which are also known as cancer-associated fibroblasts (CAFs), share characteristics with smooth muscle cells and fibroblasts. CAFs enhance tumor progression...
through the secretion of soluble factors such as growth factors and cytokines in various tumor types\cite{41-43}. One study showed that CAFs significantly increased the number of spheroid colonies, the expression level of CSC markers and the fraction of side population cells in scirrhous GC cell lines. The influence of CAFs was significantly inhibited by TGF-β inhibitors, but not by fibroblast growth factor receptor or cMet inhibitors. These findings suggest that CAFs might promote CSC properties in scirrhous GC through TGF-β signaling\cite{44}. IL-17B induced the expression of the self-renewal-related genes Nanog, Sox2, and Oct4 in mesenchymal stem cells and promoted tumor progression. After treatment with exogenous IL-17B, the supernatant from cultured mesenchymal stem cells promoted the proliferation and migration of GC cells. This suggests that IL-17B might promote the production of soluble factors by mesenchymal stem cells, which leads to GC progression\cite{45}.

A recent compelling study demonstrated that nerves help to regulate both normal and neoplastic stem cell dynamics in the gastrointestinal stem cell niche. The authors of that study utilized a series of Dclk1-CreERT mouse models to show that acetylcholine from nerves and from Dclk1+ tuft cells, which acted as intermediary niche cells to coordinate neural input to help regulate subsequent stem cell activity, induced nerve growth factor in gastric epithelial cells; this in turn promoted neuron expansion and tumorigenesis\cite{46}.

### CURRENT TREATMENT OF GC AND THE POTENTIAL FOR TARGETING GCSCS

Surgical resection is currently the only curative modality to eliminate GC. Endoscopic screening has become widespread, however, GCs are frequently diagnosed at an advanced stage, when the clinical outcome is still poor. Even after curative surgery, patients with advanced GC still experience recurrence, which implies that undetectable GC cells exist in the blood at the time of surgery. Based on this possibility, definitive evidence has been found that multimodal treatments consisting of surgery with neoadjuvant chemotherapy, adjuvant chemotherapy, or chemoradiation would improve the poor outcomes compared with surgery alone.

In recent years, several molecular-targeted agents have been investigated in various combinations with conventional treatment as a first-line chemotherapy against advanced GC. The Trastuzumab for Gastric Cancer (ToGA) trial revealed that trastuzumab, a recombinant monoclonal antibody against HER2 (also known as ERBB2), combined with fluoropyrimidine plus cisplatin provided a significant survival advantage compared with fluoropyrimidine plus cisplatin alone in patients with HER2-positive advanced GC\cite{29,47,48}.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Marker</th>
<th>General function</th>
<th>Significance</th>
<th>Therapeutic targets</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CD44</td>
<td>Cell adhesion molecule, hyaluronic acid receptor</td>
<td>Tumorigenicity, spheroid formation, chemoresistance</td>
<td>Glutathione metabolism (CD44v)</td>
<td>[16,28,56]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD24/CD44</td>
<td>Cell adhesion molecule</td>
<td>Tumorigenicity</td>
<td></td>
<td>[17]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD54/CD44</td>
<td>Cell adhesion molecule</td>
<td>Tumorigenicity, hierarchical organization</td>
<td></td>
<td>[18]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lgr5</td>
<td>Wnt target gene, restriction to the crypt base</td>
<td>Tumorigenicity</td>
<td>Notch-mTOR signal miR-132</td>
<td>[21,23,24,46,59-62]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lrig1</td>
<td>Regulatory factor of cell cycle</td>
<td>Tumorigenicity</td>
<td>Not shown</td>
<td>[32]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mist1</td>
<td>Transcriptional regulator</td>
<td>Tumorigenicity</td>
<td>Not shown</td>
<td>[33]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EpCAM/CD44</td>
<td>Cell adhesion molecule</td>
<td>Tumorigenicity, phenotypical heterogeneity, chemoresistance</td>
<td></td>
<td>[19]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALDH1</td>
<td>Detoxifying enzyme</td>
<td>Tumorigenicity, phenotypical heterogeneity</td>
<td>Not shown</td>
<td>[27,28]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD90</td>
<td>Immunoglobulin superfamily</td>
<td>Tumorigenicity, trastuzumab reduce the CD90&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt; population</td>
<td>CD90</td>
<td>[29,30]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD71</td>
<td>Transferrin receptor</td>
<td>Tumorigenicity, chemoresistance, tumor cell invasion</td>
<td>Not shown</td>
<td>[31]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD133</td>
<td>Pentaspan transmembrane glycoprotein</td>
<td>Poorly differentiated gastric cancer, independent prognostic factor</td>
<td>CD133</td>
<td>[25,26,56,63]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The ramucirumab for patients with previously treated advanced gastric or gastro-esophageal junction adenocarcinoma (RAINBOW) trial showed that the combination of ramucirumab and paclitaxel significantly improved overall survival compared with placebo plus paclitaxel and that this combination could be regarded as a new standard second-line chemotherapy for patients with advanced GC\(^{49,50}\).

Immune checkpoint blockade is a new topic in cancer therapy. The immune checkpoint pathways, which basically maintain self-tolerance and limit collateral tissue damage during anti-microbial immune responses, can be co-opted by cancer to evade immune destruction\(^{51}\). Nivolumab is a human monoclonal IgG4 antibody that blocks the human programmed cell death-1 (PD-1) receptor. Preliminary data from a double-blinded, randomized, phase III trial (ONO-4538/BMS-936558) demonstrated the efficacy of nivolumab as salvage treatment as a third- or later line of treatment in 493 patients with advanced gastric or gastroesophageal junction cancer compared with placebo (NCT02267343). Finally, a clinical study demonstrated that nivolumab was effective as the salvage treatment for pretreated advanced GC with significantly improved clinical outcomes compared with the placebo\(^{52}\).

To develop a treatment strategy to target GCSCs, we must select critical molecules that regulate the biological characteristics of CSCs [Figure 1]. Several molecules have been investigated as possible targets including those associated with specific signaling pathways, cell surface markers, and microenvironmental factors. We previously used K19-Wnt1/C2mE mice, a transgenic GC mouse model, to demonstrate that the CD44 variant isoform (CD44v), one of the cell surface markers of GCSCs, contributed to the defense against reactive oxygen species by stabilizing the glutamate-cystine transporter subunit xCT and promoting the synthesis of the primary intracellular antioxidant glutathione\(^{53,54}\). Moreover, we found that CD44v expression was up-regulated in these gastric tumor cells. We also showed that the inhibition of the cystine transport system xc(-) with sulfasalazine, an inhibitor of xCT-dependent cystine transport, suppressed the progression of gastric tumors in these transgenic mice\(^{55}\). Our findings suggest that targeted therapy

---

Figure 1: GCSCs in the microenvironment and the activated pathway in GCSCs. GCSCs: Gastric cancer stem cells; CAF: cancer-associated fibroblasts; TGF: transforming growth factor; IL: interleukin.
against the CD44v-xCT system may provide a strategy for the targeting of CD44v positive GCSCs. CD133 was a potential therapeutic target for antibody-drug conjugates (ADC), which was proven by binding mouse anti-human CD133 monoclonal antibody to highly cytotoxic monomethyl auristatin F, ultimately inducing apoptosis in cancer cells with high levels of CD133 expression\[56\]. However, a recent study demonstrated that the hierarchical organization that involves CSCs and non-CSCs may be reversible through epigenetic gene regulation, which suggests that therapeutic strategies that target GCSCs themselves might be insufficient to eliminate cancer cells\[57\].

CONCLUSION
Molecular-targeted agents have been developed as a new treatment strategy and have been applied to various types of solid tumors. These developed agents have been assessed in diverse combinations with conventional chemotherapy as a treatment against advanced tumors including GC. However, the success of molecular-targeted agents for GC has been limited, and the prognosis of patients with advanced GC is still poor. Based on accumulating evidence, GCSCs are deeply involved in GC progression. Moreover, the tumor microenvironment that surrounds GCSCs forms the CSC niche and allows the stem cells to give rise to a hierarchy of proliferative and non-GCSC cells. Targeting the critical pathways and molecules between GCSCs and their environment may therefore represent a promising therapeutic strategy, and may provide a complementary approach to conventional therapies that target the malignant cells themselves. This review describes recent progress and evidence concerning the markers of GCSCs, related molecules within the GCSC niche and treatment targets. Further elucidation of the molecular mechanisms of GCSC regulation may lead to the development of novel treatment strategies that target GCSCs.
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