Current challenges and opportunities in treating hypoxic prostate tumors
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ABSTRACT

Hypoxia is a well-established characteristic of prostate tumors and is now recognised as a major contributory factor to both tumor progression and increased resistance to therapy. One strategy to target hypoxic tumor cells is the development of hypoxia-activated prodrugs (HAPs), which are activated in low oxygen environments. Several HAPs have been developed but despite encouraging results from preclinical studies many of these have performed disappointingly in clinical trials. In the developing era of precision medicine, it is clear that more strategic deployment of these agents is required, based on reliable methods that can identify patients who will benefit from HAP treatment, either alone or in combination with other drugs. This review discusses the primary limitations of using HAPs to treat hypoxic tumors and explains how these challenges can be addressed. In particular, it emphasises the importance of tumor imaging and identification of reliable biomarkers for measuring hypoxia and monitoring cellular response to treatment in individual patients. Developing predictive assays for clinical use will be paramount in demonstrating the patient impact and effectiveness of HAPs for personalised medicine.

INTRODUCTION

A large body of evidence now exists to show that hypoxia occurs in most solid tumors and can have a major influence on treatment response[4-3]. Under hypoxic stress, cells respond in a number of ways which are primarily mediated through hypoxia-inducible factors (HIFs)[4]. When cellular oxygen levels are normal HIFα subunits are degraded by the proteasome following hydroxylation by prolyl hydroxylase domain (PHD) proteins and poly-ubiquination by the von Hippel-Lindau tumor suppressor, which is the substrate recognition component of an E3-ubiquitin ligase. When
oxygen levels are low, the PHD enzymes become inactive, thereby reducing the degradation of HIFα. The stabilised HIFα molecules translocate to the nucleus, form dimers with constitutively expressed HIFβ subunit, and bind to DNA to initiate gene transcription in response to the hypoxic environment\(^6\). HIF-independent hypoxia responses have also been described, including adaptive mechanisms regulated by mTOR signalling\(^6\), p38 MAPK\(^7\) and NF-κB\(^8\). It is therefore clear that a complex network of cellular and molecular signalling occurs when cells are exposed to hypoxic stress\(^9,10\).

This is important during cancer progression, because accelerated proliferation of cancer cells can result in abnormal vascularization, unstable blood flow and reduced O\(_2\) diffusion within a solid tumor, causing hypoxic regions to develop. This is significant because tumor hypoxia has been shown to cause numerous molecular and genetic changes within cells which promote cell survival and drive tumor development [Figure 1]\(^9,10\).

Table 1 shows the reported values from different studies on various cancers, demonstrating that the oxygen level in normal tissues can vary from approximately 4%-6% oxygen depending on the tissue; the normal prostate has one of the lowest reported median oxygen levels (~4%)\(^3\). Normal physiological stress responses to a reducing level of oxygen probably occur between 1% and 3% although the exact level is difficult to define and may well depend on multiple factors including the tissue under investigation. In tumors, oxygen levels are frequently reported at well below 1% indicating that tumor cells are exposed to severe hypoxic stresses. The proportion of the cells exposed to these extreme hypoxic stresses will vary across the tumor and can also be modified by responses to treatment.

Untreated prostate tumors are known to be very hypoxic (~0.3% oxygen)\(^3,4\), which is > 12 times lower than oxygen levels found in the normal prostate\(^3,11\). Prostate tumor hypoxia has been implicated as a causative factor in malignant progression\(^12,13\), genetic
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Table 1: Reported values of the partial pO2 in human tumors and corresponding normal tissues

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tumor type</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Median tumor pO2</th>
<th>Median % oxygen</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Median normal tissue pO2</th>
<th>Median % oxygen</th>
<th>Fold pO2 decrease</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brain (6)</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>[11]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head and neck cancer (13)</td>
<td>592</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>[11]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>[88]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>23</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>43.8</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>[89]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>65</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>51.2</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>[90]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lung cancer</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>[91]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>42.8</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>[92]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breast cancer (10)</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>[11,93]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pancreatic cancer</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>51.6</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>[94]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[95]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prostate cancer</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>[96]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>55</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[97]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>26.2</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>[98]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melanoma</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>40.5</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>[99]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rectal carcinoma</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>[100]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td></td>
<td>[101]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sarcoma (14)</td>
<td>283</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>283</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>[11]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The data in the table is adapted with permission from a review by McKeown (2014). The number of studies included for each tumor type is indicated by the number in the “tumor type” column. Other data are from single studies, as referenced. *Fold reduction of tumor vs. normal tissue is based on all the data presented in the table (except prostate; see below); †fold reduction calculated on contemporaneous measurements in the psoas muscle; ‡data from a pilot study that included values from the “normal” prostate of two bladder cancer patients. ND: not determined; pO2: pressure of oxygen.

instability [14], endothelial-to-mesenchymal transition [15,16] and selection of cells with diminished apoptotic potential and a greater invasive potential [17-19]. These plethora of changes means that the presence of hypoxia has significant implications for cancer therapy [20-21]. Indeed, as far back as the 1950s, it was realised that hypoxia is an underlying cause of resistance to radiotherapy [22,23]. Since then it has been consistently shown that high levels of hypoxia significantly correlate with increasing clinical stage and can predict biochemical failure following radiotherapy [24]. Recent studies have shown that hypoxic conditions significantly enhances exosome secretion in a HIF-1α-dependent way [23]. Exosomes are microvesicles containing a cargo of signature proteins, lipids, nucleic acid and metabolites that can contribute to the remodelling of the tissue microenvironment [24,25]. In prostate cancer models they have been shown to mediate angiogenesis, cell stemness and activation of the surrounding tumor stroma [26]. Similarly, hypoxia has been linked with increased resistance to chemotherapeutic drugs [27,28]. Therefore, hypoxia is clearly a significant obstacle to the effective treatment of tumors, so it is a viable therapeutic strategy to directly target hypoxic tumor cells in an attempt to improve treatment [27,28,30]. Although such a strategy has yet to establish clinical acceptance, one of the most promising translational approaches for patient treatment is based on the use of bioreductive drugs [31,32]. These are now more commonly known as hypoxia activated prodrugs (HAPs) or, in the case of the metabolically distinct anthraquinone-derived compounds, unidirectional HAPs (uHAPs). This review will focus on the therapeutic potential of these compounds in targeting hypoxic tumor cells, although the molecular targeting of hypoxia factors such as HIF is an equally valid strategy for targeting hypoxia and is reviewed elsewhere [30,33].

The concept underpinning the use of HAPs is well-established and several recent reviews exist, which we refer to for further understanding [32,33]. When oxygen levels are very low HAPs or uHAPs are reduced to covalently-binding active cytotoxins or release DNA-damaging radicals [31,32]. Thus the incorporation of a HAP into a treatment regime should be an ideal approach to specifically target tumor cells, particularly as hypoxia is rare in normal tissues [34].

However, although encouraging results have been obtained from preclinical studies many of the HAPs listed in Table 2 have not been realised in clinical trials. Currently, only a few of these molecules are being
actively pursued, whereas the clinical development of others has been discontinued[^31,32]. It has become clear that future large HAP clinical registration trials need to incorporate biomarkers of hypoxia to identify patients who would benefit from this type of treatment. Furthermore, in some clinical trials involving HAPs, later retrospective analyses were carried out and showed that specific cohorts treated did have a significant survival advantage. Thus, as with many cancer therapies there is a requirement to stratify patients for a number of different factors including importantly hypoxia. As Table 1 shows, there is considerable variation in tumor hypoxia between patients, meaning not every patient will show the same response to HAP therapy. Nonetheless, a proof-of-principle study has demonstrated that in patients with different tumor types, AQ4N was activated selectively in hypoxic regions in human solid tumors to AQ4 the hypoxia-activated metabolite of AQ4N and a potent DNA intercalator and topo II poison[^35]. This phase I study, has been vital to the identification of the potential clinical efficacy of this prodrug.

Furthermore, tumor heterogeneity will also mean that not all cancer cells will have the innate capacity to be targeted in the same way or to the same extent, as the HAP may not be effectively metabolised to the same degree across the tumor micro-environment. Another difficult question to address clinically is also whether the reductases that are identified as capable of activating the HAPs in preclinical models are present in all hypoxic cells within a heterogenous tumor. Most HAPs (including nitroaromatics, quinones and benzotriazine di-oxides) are activated via a mechanism that begins with one-electron reduction by flavin-dependent oxidoreductases to generate a metabolite which can be readily back-oxidised during fluctuating oxygen tensions; this might be a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2: HAPs which have been tested in human clinical trials</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Prodrug</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tirapazamine (SR 4233)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apaziquone (E09)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evofosfamide TH-302</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tarloxitinib TH-4000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PR-104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Banoxantrone (AQ4N)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porfiromycin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RH1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NCI: National Cancer Institute; CRUK: Cancer Research UK; HER: human epidermal growth factor receptor; HAPs: hypoxia-activated prodrugs

---

Figure 2: Chemical structures of HAPs that have been under clinical evaluation. HAPs: hypoxia-activated prodrugs
contributing factor to resistance mechanisms under acute hypoxia but not chronic fractions of solid tumors\cite{31}. HAPs such as AQ4N that rely on an aliphatic tertiary amine N-oxide are activated via two-electron reduction that is catalysed by CYP isoforms\cite{36-41} is not oxygen sensitive and hence a more persistent cell killing effect may be observed; the more metabolically stable, deuterated analogue of AQ4N, OCT1002 is described further below.

**COMBINATION TREATMENT WITH HAPS**

It is clear from clinical results thus far that an increased understanding of how HAPs are activated in different tumor types is required in order to develop reliable predictors of tumor sensitivity to this type of treatment. Moreover, as with most chemotherapeutic drugs, it is unlikely that monotherapy with any given HAP will prove to be wholly effective. A more realistic scenario is that susceptible tumors can be treated with combinatorial therapy which includes a HAP. In the preclinical setting, enhanced anticancer activity has been demonstrated by combining chemotherapy with HAPs. In prostate cancer, synergistic effect has e.g. been achieved using doxorubicin or docetaxel in combination with TH-302, supporting HAPs with cycle-active chemotherapy to treat aggressive forms of prostate cancer\cite{42}.

In a clinical context, several HAPs have been investigated in combination with conventional cytotoxic chemotherapy or radiotherapy\cite{43,44}. Although some patients have benefitted from the combination therapy, the results of these trials have at large been disappointing as reflected upon by Hunter et al.\cite{31}. However, with the increasing knowledge we have gained, especially over the past decade, perhaps other combination drugs that address molecular targets, oncogenic drivers and exploit DNA damage response (DDR) pathways will pave the way for the next generation of HAPs.

For example, there is evidence to suggest that DDR induced by hypoxia is altered from the classical pathways induced by damaging agents\cite{45}. There are possibly several reasons for this and include repression of DNA repair in hypoxic conditions. Treatment is complicated further by several reports indicating that DNA repair under hypoxia is defective or abnormal and hence may not respond to exposure of the bioreduced metabolites of the HAPs that have undergone clinical evaluation.

The complex nature of a heterogenous tumor is likely to result in a number of alterations and include (1) alteration of the catalytic activity of drug-metabolizing enzymes that are responsible for HAP bioconversion, and (2) the DDR may be differently regulated in different types of cells, e.g. a hypoxic cell and a hypoxia-located cancer stem cell. Some evidence indicate that hypoxia-induced DDR under more extreme hypoxia (< 0.1%) occurs in the absence of detectable single- or double-strand breaks and in a background of repressed DNA repair (Olcina & Hammond). In this regard, it could be important in the future to explore how DNA-targeted metabolites derived from HAPs can be used to exploit changes in DDR influenced by hypoxia.
outcome. Veliparib has been shown to potentiate PC-3 but not DU-145 tumors to radiotherapy, which may be correlated with higher levels of hypoxia in PC-3 tumors compared with DU-145 tumors. These studies did not include pharmacokinetics of either olaparib or veliparib and hence the distribution of the PARP inhibitors within the tumor microenvironment is unknown. It is tempting to speculate that improved delivery of the PARP inhibitors to the hypoxic fractions or inclusion of an appropriate HAP could lead to an enhanced therapeutic index.

**USE OF OCT1002 TO IMPROVE EXISTING THERAPY**

Research in our own labs have focused on how uHAPs can improve androgen deprivation therapy (ADT) for prostate tumors. Most HAPs are reduced in single-electron reduction steps, a process which is reversible if oxygen levels increase. However, AQ4NN, its deuterated analogue OCT1002 (OncoTherics Ltd) and AQ4N analogues with potential to covalently adduct DNA/topo II can be considered uHAPs. These compounds are alkylaminoanthraquinone di-N-oxides, which are irreversibly bioactivated via a two-step, two electron reduction to form the reduction products (AQ4 and OCT1001, respectively). These are metabolically stable, highly toxic DNA-affinic reduction products which exist independent of any further change in oxygenation. OCT1002 differs from AQ4N through highly selective deuterium substitution of the 12 hydrogen atoms contained within the two N-oxide side chains. This results in superior intracellular persistence of the activated form OCT1001, since deuteration slows cytochrome P450 metabolism, alters subcellular localisation and sequestration properties, thereby contributing to an enhanced intracellular persistence of the activated drug as described for other drugs. Consequently, it is predicted that OCT1002 should be an improved analogue and is therefore under extensive preclinical evaluation.

A recent study has investigated how OCT1002 may be combined with existing therapies for prostate cancer to prevent ADT resistance and progression to castrate resistant prostate cancer (CRPC). It was shown that OCT1002 has a hypoxia-dependent anti-tumor effect in androgen-sensitive LNCaP prostate tumor xenografts and the effect can be markedly enhanced when combined with bicalutamide, an ADT drug which inhibits androgen signaling by targeting the androgen receptor (AR). The study also showed that it could block significantly the molecular changes caused by bicalutamide alone. This is consistent with previous studies in the same model which showed that bicalutamide induces hypoxia through vascular collapse resulting in molecular changes that included evidence of endothelial to mesenchymal transition and increased metastasis to the lungs within 4 weeks. These hypoxia-induced responses may help explain why patients treated solely with ADT often relapse; the hypoxic stress selects for resistant cells which survive to establish a tumor with a more malignant phenotype. Along with other studies investigating the link between hypoxia ADT on tumors, this lends weight to the idea that drug-induced hypoxia may in fact drive prostate cancer progression and that HAPs may be a valuable way to address this issue.

This is timely work as the idea of combinatorial drug treatment has gained considerable traction in recent years. In particular, recent results from the CHAARTED and STAMPEDE clinical trials have revealed that use of docetaxel in combination with ADT improved relapse-free survival in patients with high-risk localised prostate cancer, proving that combining ADT with other types of drug can benefit prostate cancer sufferers. Since hypoxia is a major factor in developing ADT resistance, it makes sense to combine ADT with HAPs or uHAPs as a therapeutic strategy. However, as discussed above the absolute requirement for patient derived evidence-led decision making during clinical development of various HAPs demonstrates that translating these compounds into clinically accepted drugs needs careful consideration of tumor micro-environment and related hypoxic status. It requires both improved understanding of the action of these agents, as well as methods with which to clearly identify tumors which will be sensitive to HAPs. We still need improved ways to predict which patients will respond to which drugs. Making the right decisions on whether to use HAPs require increased knowledge about the hypoxic mechanisms which drive prostate cancer progression in order to improve patient stratification in the clinic. This means developing accurate, sensitive ways to identify tumors that are likely to be susceptible to hypoxic targeting.

**DETECTION OF PRODRUG CONVERSION AND PREDICTION OF RESPONSES TO HAPs**

The key to ascertaining or indeed stratifying a prostate tumor for sensitivity to hypoxia targeting through HAP treatment requires a multi-pronged approach which has to take into consideration multiple aspects. Importantly this requirement provides an opportunity to bring new technologies and innovations to bear in order to really elucidate the effectiveness of the
drug from molecular profiling to potentially single cell functional analysis. Thus here we consider approaches aimed at developing novel and functional assays for tumor stratification.

Many hypoxia-targeting small molecules, for example, [(18)F]FAZA, [(18)F]FMISO, [(18)F]EF5, and [(123) I]IAZA, have been shown to accrue selectively in hypoxic cells. These positron emission tomography molecular contrast agents have been extensively applied in clinical hypoxia imaging, including cancer.[63] However the outstanding challenge is to multiplex these imaging readouts with the delivery and conversion of prodrug in the same tumor and package the acquisition and analysis algorithms such that they offer pragmatic solutions for advancing our understanding of HAP bioavailability and conversion.

Many bioactive molecules have chromophores[64] thus offering the prospect for tracking target interactions through methods such as steady-state fluorescence readouts, or determining fluorescence quenching properties and fluorescence lifetime measurements for detecting drug tethering to target. Fluorescence life-time and quenching analyses can lead to a unique means for dissecting sub-resolution molecular interactions in situ[65]. For instance, recent spectroscopic investigations show molecular properties of doxorubicin change due to alterations in the local environment, such as when the drug is encapsulated to nanoparticles. Thus we suggest that fluorescence imaging provides a powerful tool for investigating drug delivery in tumor cells and tissue, and further allows for the linking of multi-scalar features of drug design, stability and metabolism together with the complexities imposed by the biological system including tissue penetration and drug-target interactions.

All these fluorescent modalities are very much applicable for the uHAPs such as AQ4N and OCT1002 which are fluorescent due to the anthraquinoid chromophore and detectable in vitro and in vivo[55,66] and also retained in tissue even after snap-freezing of xenograft material. Cryosections of frozen xenograft tumor tissue slices were examined for AQ4 fluorescence and distribution by fluorescence microscopy, alongside HPLC/mass spectroscopy analysis[67]. To extend the concept further, the efficiency of drug-target interaction of the prodrug is driven by not only pharmacokinetic factors but a host of parallel cellular status and events that are required to elicit the sought pharmacodynamic responses, which are also heterogeneously expressed through the tumor. Hence the requirement for in vivo pharmacodynamics readouts, such as that provided by a truncated 53BP1 double-strand reporter, recently shown to accentuate the approach for in situ single cell analysis of cancer therapeutics[68]. Applying this PK-PD linked imaging at the single cell would provide the evidence and mechanisms essential for the development of HAP therapeutic strategies that address changing patterns of target presentation in different cellular microenvironments, and prostate tissue architecture.

**BH3 PROFILING TO PREDICT CAPACITY FOR CELL DEATH AT THE SINGLE CELL LEVEL**

The primary action of the AQ4N and OCT1002 metabolites is through DNA damage and subsequently apoptosis. Despite much research into the molecular pathways that regulate cell death, the signalling networks involved are so complex that molecular profiling of key pro-and anti-apoptotic players alone does not provide the predictive capability needed to assess chemo-responsiveness[69]. Thus, functional BH3 profiling would lead to the derivation of cell death fingerprinting, determining the sensitivity thresholds for apoptosis between and within heterogeneous cancer cell populations. The underlying principle of BH3 profiling is that mitochondrial depolarization or subsequent processes such as BAK/BAX oligomerisation or cytochrome release following BH3 peptide exposure serves as a functional biomarker for cellular response to pro-apoptotic cues. A recent technology innovation has led to the development and implementation of novel nano-tools (cross-linked stapled peptides) to aid the understanding of apoptotic responses using flow and image cytomtery[70,71]. Feasibility studies have shown that BH3-derived peptides alkylated with azobenzene cross-linkers have the ability to induce detectable physiological changes paralleling the early events in apoptotic cell death. The objective now is to establish a validated BH3-profiling pipeline suitable for sample stratification, using these peptide BH3 pathway inducers and sensitizers[72]. In short, BH3 profiling provides a functional readout for the primed apoptotic state of a heterogenous population of cells, again which can be directly linked to drug bioreduction and retention at the single cell level.

**MOLECULAR PROFILING AND BIOINFORMATIC ANALYSIS**

The drive towards personalised medicine depends on the discovery of biomarkers which can allow molecular stratification of patients. Such information
is likely to reside in the vast arrays of data detailing the specific genetic characteristics of individual prostate tumors which has been gathered by genomic profiling in recent years. Comprehensive bioinformatics analyses of this data has revealed that a wide molecular diversity exists in human cancer, including prostate tumors (TCGA Network, 2015) [73]. Such tumor heterogeneity may help explain why patients presenting with pathologically similar tumors can have very different responses to the same course of treatment. For example, primary prostate cancers exhibit a wide variability in AR activity, with increased AR-dependent signalling linked to gene mutations in SPOP and FOXA1 (TCGA Network, 2015)[73]. Knowing whether a tumor carries these mutations or not can help determine the most appropriate ADT approach for a patient and subsequent tracking of those gene mutations can inform adaptive drug administration. Likewise, knowing the mutational status of the AR gene itself will be critical in helping predict treatment outcome. For instance, enzalutamide cannot bind to an abnormal splice variant of the AR called AR-V7, so patients harbouring this mutation would be unlikely to respond to that particular drug, further emphasising the need to stratify patients by molecular profiles. Indeed, recent research has shown that AR-V7 can be detected in patient blood samples and efforts to validate this screening for clinical application are under way[74].

In a similar manner, it is possible to probe this data for hypoxic markers, allowing researchers to identify key patterns which may allow patient stratification based on hypoxic indices. Hypoxic gene signatures with prognostic potential have been identified in various cancers, such as breast[75], head and neck[76] and laryngeal cancer[77], each study highlighting how expression of genes related to hypoxia can be used to predict outcome. In a prostate cancer setting, a combination of these signatures was subsequently used to categorise hypoxic status of a total of 271 radical prostatectomy samples from two independent cohorts in a study which showed that biochemical relapse was associated with indices of tumor hypoxia, genomic instability, and genomic subtypes based on multivariate analyses[78]. Patients with a low percentage of genome alteration and low hypoxia had the best outcome, whereas those with high levels of both measures had the worst. Another study investigated gene expression in prostate tumor biopsies staining positive for hypoxia marker pimonidazole and also identified a signature of hypoxic response genes which correlated with tumor aggressiveness[79]. These studies demonstrate the value of genetic profiling of hypoxic status to help stratify patients for treatment, which possibly could include hypoxia targeting in selected groups. As data on clinical samples and patient outcome continues to be collected and archived in data repositories like The Cancer Genome Atlas, these genetic signatures can be continually refined by bioinformatic analysis to identify the most reliable markers of prostate tumor hypoxia.

In addition to tumor analysis, non-invasive biomarkers which can be measured in biofluids are also an attractive option for clinical use. In this regard, microRNAs have generated much excitement as potentially valuable markers of prostate progression and treatment response. These small RNA molecules are much more stably preserved than other RNA species in clinical samples, including fresh and fixed tissues, serum and urine, and can be readily detected using highly specific and sensitive PCR-based assays. miRNAs are important regulators of cell function and many of them are aberrantly expressed in prostate cancer[80,81]. Of these, miR-210 has been identified as a key regulator of hypoxia[82,83] and has been implicated in prostate cancer progression[84]. Significantly, serum levels of miR-210 have been shown to be elevated in prostate cancer patients compared to benign prostatic hyperplasia controls[85], as well as in metastatic CRPC patients who did not respond to treatment[86]. The goal is that miR-210 and other related miRNAs can be used as a panel of serum biomarkers that will reflect extent of tumor hypoxia.

It is therefore clear that any strategies for treating prostate cancer must embrace molecular profiling as a means to stratify patients and also monitor response to treatment. Since hypoxia plays such a fundamental role in prostate cancer progression, examining the altered expression of genes involved in hypoxia-related pathways, as well as network analysis of their interactions, will be an important consideration in developing precision medicine for individual patients.

**CONCLUSION**

A major challenge in cancer therapy is to develop therapeutic agents that selectively target tumor cells. One avenue towards the development of more selective cancer therapies is to exploit the unique physiological properties of solid tumors using prodrug approaches. Hypoxia generated as a result of a poor and inefficient neovascularature is a characteristic feature of many solid tumors and is associated with the development of an aggressive phenotype and resistance to radiotherapy and chemotherapy. Whilst problematical for conventional therapies, hypoxia is
regarded as a valid target for drug development and a series HAPs have been developed over a period of 30-40 years with eight HAPs reaching clinical evaluation. Currently, no HAP has reached the market and this is somewhat perplexing given the overwhelming evidence of solid tumors containing significant levels of acute and chronic hypoxia. If patients were molecularly stratified for treatment based on their tumor hypoxia signature including analysis of reductase expression, it is possible that the HAPs in combination with chemotherapy or radiotherapy would have resulted in improved treatment outcomes. Prostate tumors are considerably hypoxic as discussed in this review, which poses some unique challenges to effective treatment of aggressive forms of this disease with standard therapies such as docetaxel and/or radiotherapy. Clinical trials carried out with AQ4N have been promising, demonstrating safe administration of a uHAP that rapidly distributes throughout the body and penetrates into hypoxic regions where it is bio-reduced to a persistent DNA-affinic topo II-targeting metabolite. The deuterated AQ4N analogue OCT1002 offers great potential in the treatment of prostate cancer, for example in the combination with ADT. In prostate cancer, uHAPs could also be used in combination with PARP1 inhibitors in patients whose tumors harbour DDR deficiencies. Much progress is being made on how best to utilise PARP1 inhibitors but prior analysis of tumor heterogeneity and target expression is vital for clinical success. For example, a recent phase 2 trial that concerned patients with metastatic prostate cancer benefitted from whole-exome sequencing and transcriptome analysis on DNA from fresh-frozen tumor-biopsy samples prior to treatment. In this study, understanding of DNA defects enabled clinicians to select patients suitable for the PARP inhibitor olaparib to ensure better treatment outcome[87]. Finally, the emergence of genetic and hypoxic signatures and the ability to image and analyse the heterogeneity of prostate tumors provides new opportunities for employing HAPs and uHAPs in combination with molecularly-targeted agents and/or radiotherapy.
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